

# Clarendon 1 School District

P.O. Box 38

Summerton, SC 29148

Grades PK-12

**Enrollment** 1,102 Students

**Superintendent** Dr. Rose H. Wilder 803–485–2325

**Board Chair** John D. Bonaparte 803–478–8711

Fiscal Authority County Council

# The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card

2005

# ABSOLUTE RATING

## BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours

 Excellent
 Good
 Average
 Below Average
 Unsatisfactory

 0
 0
 1
 5
 4

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

#### AVERAGE

The district's Improvement rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students.

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This district met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Good	No
2004	Below Average	Below Average	No
2005	Below Average	Average	Yes

#### **DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS**

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2004-05 whose 2003-04 test scores were located.

96.3%

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) English/Language Arts Mathematics Our District 597 Districts with Students like Ours 423 Districts with Students like Ours 425 425 425 427 428 557 600

#### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy

determines progress to the next grade level

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		<b>Our District</b>	!	Districts with Students like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed both subtests	51.9	59.7	N/A	59.5	53.2	N/A		
Passed 1 subtest	21.7	25.0	N/A	20.1	22.9	N/A		
Passed no subtests	26.4	15.3	N/A	20.4	23.9	N/A		

### ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP\*

Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	27.1	4.3
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	27.1	4.3
Seniors who met the grade point average	27.1	30.1
<b>5</b> . <b>5</b>		

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	- /	ا، ا	1		1.	/ ~
	ent 1	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
		Jest	Mog	Bag	] July	[dval	% Proficient ar Advanced
	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	/ %	/ å	/ %	/ %	/ %	45.5
	/ -	/ nglish/L	inguage A	rte			0%
All Students	532	98.7	40.1	46.9	12.4	0.6	13.0
Gender	J J J J J	30.7	40.1	40.9	12.4	0.0	13.0
Male	261	98.9	45.6	41.1	12.1	1.2	13.3
Female	271	98.5	34.7	52.6	12.7	0.0	12.7
Racial/Ethnic Group	271	00.0	04.7	02.0	12.7	0.0	12.1
White	13	77.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	509	99.2	40.7	46.7	12.2	0.4	12.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	431	98.8	36.1	50.5	12.7	0.7	13.4
Disabled	101	98.0	56.7	32.0	11.3	0.0	11.3
Migrant Status					,		
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	532	98.7	40.1	46.9	12.4	0.6	13.0
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	532	98.7	40.1	46.9	12.4	0.6	13.0
Socio-Economic Status	405	00.0	111	40.0	44.0	0.4	40.4
Subsidized meals	495	98.6	41.4	46.6	11.6	0.4	12.1
Full-pay meals	105	100.0	22.9	51.4	22.9	2.9	25.7 <b> </b>
			ematics				
All Students	532	98.9	47.2	44.2	7.2	1.4	8.6
Gender							
Male	261	99.2	50.6	41.0	6.0	2.4	8.4
Female	271	98.5	44.0	47.4	8.4	0.4	8.8
Racial/Ethnic Group	40	040	1 1/0	1,0	1/0	1/0	1/0
White	13	84.6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	509 N/A	99.2	48.5	43.4	6.8	1.2 N/A	8.1 N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	N/A 9	N/A 100.0	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
Not Disabled	431	98.8	42.8	47.3	8.5	1.5	10.0
Disabled	101	99.0	65.3	31.6	2.0	1.0	3.1
Migrant Status	1 101	00.0	00.0	01.0	2.0	1.0	J.,
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	532	98.9	47.2	44.2	7.2	1.4	8.6
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		98.9	47.2	44.2	7.2	1.4	8.6
Non-Limited English Proficient	532	00.0	77.2				
Socio-Economic Status	532	30.3	77.2				
	495	98.8	48.4	43.9	6.7	1.1	7.7

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic		% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
	lmer Test	este	)W B	% Basic	Officie	\	cient
	Enro	% Tested	Bell	/ %	/ % T	/ % A	Profi Adva
	'0		%				%
All Students	532	98.9	<b>59.4</b>	33.8	4.0	2.8	6.8
Gender	332	30.3	33.4	33.0	4.0	2.0	0.0
Male	261	99.2	57.8	33.7	4.4	4.0	8.4
Female	271	98.5	61.0	33.9	3.6	1.6	5.2
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	13	84.6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	509	99.2	60.4	33.0	3.9	2.7	6.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status				,			
Not Disabled	431	98.8	54.7	38.1	4.0	3.2	7.2
Disabled	101	99.0	78.6	16.3	4.1	1.0	5.1
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	532	98.9	59.4	33.8	4.0	2.8	6.8
English Proficiency	21/2	24/4	NVA	N.1/4			N// 0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	532	98.9	59.4	33.8	4.0	2.8	6.8
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals	405	00.0	60.0	22.0	2.4	1.0	F 4
	495 36	98.8	60.9 40.0	33.8 34.3	3.4	1.9	5.4 25.7
Full-pay meals	30		•	34.3	11.4	14.3	25.7
			Studies				
All Students	532	98.9	52.6	36.8	7.8	2.8	10.6
Gender	004		<b>50.0</b>				44.0
Male	261	99.2	52.2	36.1	7.6	4.0	11.6
Female	271	98.5	53.0	37.5	8.0	1.6	9.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	40	04.0	L/C	L/C	L/C	L/C	L/C
White	13	84.6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	509	99.2	53.1	36.7	7.7	2.5	10.2
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	N/A 9	N/A 100.0	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
Not Disabled	431	98.8	47.5	41.0	8.2	3.2	11.4
Disabled	101	99.0	73.5	19.4	6.1	1.0	7.1
Migrant Status		00.0	. 5.0	13.7	J.,	1.0	· · · ·
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	532	98.9	52.6	36.8	7.8	2.8	10.6
English Proficiency		- 3.0		- 3.0			
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	532	98.9	52.6	36.8	7.8	2.8	10.6
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	495	98.8	54.0	36.3	7.5	2.2	9.7
Full-pay meals	36	100.0	34.3	42.9	11.4	11.4	22.9

PACT	PERFOMA	NCE BY GRAI	DE <b>L</b> EVEL						
	$G_{rade}$	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	
				English/Lar	nguage Arts				
	3	81	97.5	16.5	50.6	29.1	3.8	32.9	
4	4	73	95.9	34.3	47.1	18.6	N/A	18.6	
	5 6	81	98.8 91.0	52.5	40.0	7.5 5.5	N/A	7.5 5.5	
7	7	100 111	91.0	51.6 38.1	42.9 54.3	7.6	N/A N/A	7.6	
-	8	117	94.8	42.2	53.2	4.6	N/A	4.6	
-	3	70	98.6	16.4	38.8	40.3	4.5	44.8	
-	4	80	100.0	39.5	52.6	7.9	0.0	7.9	
8	5	68	98.5	35.4	56.9	7.7	0.0	7.7	
0	6	86	97.7	70.9	21.5	7.6	0.0	7.6	
67	7	100	97.0	41.3	52.2	6.5	0.0	6.5	
	8	128	100.0	35.0	55.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	Ĺ
				Mathe					
	3	81	97.5	30.4	58.2	10.1	1.3	11.4	
4	4	73	95.9	38.6	57.1	2.9	1.4	4.3	
	5	81	98.8 91.0	67.5	32.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	6 7	100 111	94.6	28.6 48.6	59.3 43.8	9.9 5.7	2.2 1.9	12.1 7.6	
-	8	117	96.6	60.4	39.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	
-	3	70	98.6	25.4	58.2	13.4	3.0	16.4	
	4	80	100.0	48.7	38.2	11.8	1.3	13.2	
8	5	68	98.5	38.5	52.3	7.7	1.5	9.2	
2	6	86	98.8	50.0	42.5	6.3	1.3	7.5	
	7	100	97.0	46.7	44.6	6.5	2.2	8.7	
	8	128	100.0	61.7	36.7	1.7	0.0	1.7	
				Scie	ence				
-	3								
4	4 5								
18	6								
2	7								
	8								
	3	70	98.6	41.8	49.3	4.5	4.5	9.0	
	4	80	100.0	60.5	30.3	5.3	3.9	9.2	
8	5	68	98.5	64.6	29.2	4.6	1.5	6.2	
12	6	86	98.8	68.8	23.8	2.5	5.0	7.5	
	7	100	97.0	56.5	35.9	5.4	2.2	7.6	
	8	128	100.0	61.7	35.0	2.5	0.8	3.3	
	2			Social	Studies				
-	3 4								
4	5								
9	6								
67	7								
	8								
	3	70	98.6	16.4	52.2	17.9	13.4	31.3	
IO.	4	80	100.0	42.1	46.1	9.2	2.6	11.8	
O .	5	68	98.5	56.9	36.9	1.5	4.6	6.2	
2	6	86	98.8	65.0	26.3	8.8	0.0	8.8	
	7	100	97.0	59.8	31.5	8.7	0.0	8.7	
	8	128	100.0	63.3	33.3	3.3	0.0	3.3	]

#### SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

These schools will be reported in a separate document.

# DEFINITION OF SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

Title I schools that fail to make adequate yearly progress for two consecutive years

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GROUP				-,-			<b>—</b> ,—
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	ر ا	% Below Basic		% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
	of Tes	% Tested	low E	% Basic	rofici	dvan	% Proficient an
	Pay Car	/ %	/ %	/ %	/ %	/ %	1 % A
		<i>l</i> English/La	<i>I</i> anguage Ai	rts			/ °`
All Students	71	100.0	17.6	41.2	33.8	7.4	41.2
Gender							
Male	38	100.0	26.3	31.6	39.5	2.6	42.1
Female	33	100.0	6.7	53.3	26.7	13.3	40.0
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	66	100.0	18.8	37.5	35.9	7.8	43.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status		400.0		00.0	40.0		F4.0
Not Disabled	57	100.0	9.3	38.9	42.6	9.3	51.9
Disabled	14	100.0	50.0	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status		L NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A
Migrant Non Migrant	0	N/A 100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant English Proficiency	71	100.0	17.6	41.2	33.8	7.4	41.2
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	71	100.0	17.6	41.2	33.8	7.4	41.2
Socio-Economic Status	/ 1	100.0	17.0	71.2	30.0	7.4	71.2
Subsidized meals	54	100.0	14.8	40.7	38.9	5.6	44.5
Full-pay meals	17	100.0	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	28.6
· un puj moulo			•	1 .2.0	,	1	1 20.0
All Students	71	Math 100.0	ematics 38.2	30.9	25.0	5.9	30.9
Gender	/ / /	100.0	30.2	30.9	23.0	5.9	30.9
Male	38	100.0	36.8	34.2	18.4	10.5	28.9
Female	33	100.0	40.0	26.7	33.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	00	100.0	10.0	20.7	00.0	14/73	14/71
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	66	100.0	34.4	32.8	26.6	6.3	32.9
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	57	100.0	25.9	35.2	31.5	7.4	38.9
Disabled	14	100.0	85.7	14.3	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	71	100.0	38.2	30.9	33.8	5.9	30.9
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	71	100.0	38.2	30.9	25.0	5.9	30.9
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	54	100.0	35.2	29.6	38.9	7.4	35.2
Full-pay meals	17	100.0	50.0	35.7	14.3	N/A	N/A

#### PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

		n Passage pring 2005	-	y for LIFE arship*	G	Graduation Rate	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All students	72	88.9%	70	27.1%	87	0.0%	
Gender							
Male	33	84.8%	30	23.3%	39	46.2%	
Female	39	92.3%	40	30.0%	48	72.9%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	2	I/S	0	N/A	2	I/S	
African American	70	88.6%	69	26.1%	84	61.9%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Not disabled	68	92.6%	63	28.6%	78	66.7%	
Disabilities other than speech	4	I/S	7	I/S	9	I/S	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	72	88.9%	70	27.1%	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	72	88.9%	70	27.1%	86	61.6%	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	63	88.9%	63	28.6%	69	66.7%	
Full-pay meals	9	I/S	7	I/S	17	41.2%	

<sup>\*</sup> Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

#### **EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005**

Our District Districts with Students like Ours
88.9% 86.4%

# **GRADUATION RATE**

Percent

	Our District	Districts with Students like Ours
Number of Students	87	133
Number of Diplomas	0	82
Rate	0.0%	57.8%

# 2004-05 COLLEGE ADMISSIONS TESTS

SAT	Vei	rbal	Ma	ath	To	tal
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
District	410	411	468	419	878	830
State	491	494	495	499	986	993
Nation	508	508	518	520	1026	1028

ACI	Eng	glish	IVI	atn		adıng	Science		10	otai
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
District	13.9	17.4	16.3	17.2	15.0	16.8	15.8	17.3	15.4	17.3
State	18.8	18.8	19.1	19.3	19.0	19.6	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.4
Nation	20.4	20.4	20.7	20.7	21.3	21.3	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9

**Abbreviations for Missing Data** 

N/A Not Applicable	N/AV Not Available	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

DISTRICT PROFILE	Our	Change from	Districts	Media
	District	Change from Last Year	with Students Like Ours	Distric
Students (n= 1,102)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No change	100.0%	98.6%
Retention rate	9.6%	Down from 11.3%	7.9%	5.4%
Attendance rate	96.6%	Up from 96.5%	94.8%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	8.2%	Up from 5.2%	7.8%	4.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	5.9%	Up from 4.8%	6.2%	4.4%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.5%	Up from 8.3%	4.4%	10.9%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	10.1%	Down from 10.9%	10.6%	11.29
Older than usual for grade	11.2%	Up from 10.8%	8.4%	5.19
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.1%	Down from 2.1%	0.7%	1.29
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	12.3%	Up from 9.1%	4.2%	9.7%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	51.29
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	63	Up from 59	64	15
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	7	Up from 4	9	3
Annual dropout rate	4.4%	Up from 1.7%	2.4%	2.99
Feachers (n= 72)	,0	ор нош нь 70	2,0	210
Teachers with advanced degrees	41.7%	Up from 40.5%	46.2%	51.49
Continuing contract teachers	79.2%	Down from 83.5%	69.9%	81.09
Highly qualified teachers	93.7%	Up from 91.8%	89.3%	92.0
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.3%	Down from 13.5%	14.2%	5.1
Teachers returning from previous year	81.8%	Down from 84.1%	81.4%	90.09
Teacher attendance rate	93.4%	Up from 90.8%	94.4%	95.09
Average teacher salary	\$37,267	Down 3.1%	\$38,294	\$41,28
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	2.8%	Up from 2.5%	2.7%	0.49
			**	
Prof. development days/teacher District	18.9 days	Up from 13.4 days	16.2 days	14.9 day
Superintendent's years at district	0.5	No change	4.0	4.
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.2 to 1	Down from 21.5 to 1	19.1 to 1	20.6 to
Prime instructional time	87.2%	Up from 84.9%	87.1%	89.29
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8.864	Up 4.9%	\$8,789	\$7,43
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	47.1%	Down from 48.5%	49.9%	54.59
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Fair	Good	Exceller
Parents attending conferences	84.9%	Up from 70.4%	86.5%	97.09
•	3		4	
Number of schools		No change	•	
Number of magnet schools	0	No change	0	
Number of charter schools	0	No change	0	
Number of alternative schools	0	No change	0	4.00
Portable classrooms	8.4%	Down from 11.2%	6.2%	4.29
Average age in years of school facilities	29	Up from 28	29	2
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	0	No change	4	
Average administrator salary Prior year audited financial data are reported.	\$56,201	Down 8.4%	\$66,702	\$68,69
		Our District	State	۵.
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	89.49		
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools		93.7%	90.19	-
		State Objective	Met State C	
Highly qualified teachers		65.0%	Yes	
Student attendance rate		95.3%	Yes	

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

**Board Membership** 5 trustees elected to at-large seats, 4 trustees

appointed

Fiscal Authority Council

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 30.0 per board member

Percent New Trustees Completing Orientation 100.0%

#### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

The highlight of the 2004-05 school year was that each school completed the process to obtain accreditation through the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. We are anxiously awaiting the results of the SACS Team review. Obtaining SACS accreditation mirrors our goal of improving instruction through the effort of ensuring that all employees in all areas are highly qualified.

Clarendon County School District One continues to strive for excellence. Our top priority is to help our students develop to their fullest potential. Our graduating class of 72 students received approximately \$1.7 million in scholarship offers. Some of the graduates have been accepted to various colleges throughout the country; others plan to enlist in the military; and some will enter the workforce.

Students in grades 4-7 had the opportunity during the 2004-05 school year to be actively involved with several renowned artists in residence. The students performed in various plays and musicals. They also had hands-on experience with art projects. This opportunity was afforded to our students through a multi-year Fine Arts Grant written by district employees. All of the students have access to advanced technology through wireless labs. The labs are funded through a three-year federal grant that provides additional technology staff development, a technology coach and equipment.

Our continued challenges are a lack of strong community support; the major tax base is retired citizens; the poverty rate is 96%; and there is very little parental involvement. In spite of the aforementioned challenges, we will not accept failure as an option for students. We realize the importance of expecting and having high expectations for all stakeholders—students, staff, parents and community. To this end, we pledge to have an educational environment that is supportive, nurturing and conducive to learning for all students. We fully understand and realize that we cannot control where our students are coming from, but we will make a conscious effort to control the school environment to ensure that quality educational service is the norm for Clarendon School District One.

Dr. Rose H. Wilder Superintendent